Prepared for submission to JHEP

The Entropy of Higher Dimensional Nonrotating Isolated Horizons from Loop Quantum Gravity

Jingbo Wang, Chao-Guang Huang

Institute of High Energy Physics and Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, People's Republic of China

E-mail: wangjb@ihep.ac.cn, huangcg@ihep.ac.cn

Abstract: In this paper, we extend the calculation of the entropy of the nonrotating isolated horizons in 4 dimensional spacetime to that in a higher dimensional spacetime. We show that the boundary degrees of freedom on an isolated horizon can be described effectively by a punctured $SO(1,1)$ BF theory. Then the entropy of the nonrotating isolated horizon can be calculated out by counting the microstates. It satisfies the Bekenstein-Hawking law.

arXiv:1409.0985v1 [gr-qc] 3 Sep 2014

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 The higher dimensional nonrotating isolated horizons **2**

3 $(D-1)$-dimensional $SO(1,1)$ punctured BF theory **6**

4 The entropy of isolated horizon **6**

5 Discussion **9**

A Solder field and connection on the isolated horizon **10**

1 Introduction

Black hole has been attracting people's attention since a long time ago. The pioneering works of Bekinstein [1], Hawking [2] and others [3] during the seventies of last century have suggested that black holes have temperature and entropy. The entropy is given by the famous Bekenstein-Hawking area law

$$S = \frac{A}{4G\hbar}, \tag{1.1}$$

where A is the area of the event horizon of a black hole. The entropy depends on both the Newtonian gravitational and the Planck constants and indicates that its statistical description might tell us something profound about quantum gravity. There are many ways to explain the entropy of a black hole based on different theories, such as string theory [4], loop quantum gravity [5]. For a brief review see Ref. [6].

Unlike the notion of the event horizon of a black hole, which is based on the global structure of the spacetime [7], an isolated horizon is defined quasilocally as a portion of the event horizon [8]. As expected, the laws of black hole mechanics and thermodynamics can be generalized to those of isolated horizons [8, 9]. In particular, the zeroth and first law of thermodynamics and the area law (1.1) for isolated horizons can also be set up. The microscopic degrees of freedom on an isolated horizon, which count for the entropy, are suggested to be described by punctured Chern-Simons theory [5] in the framework of loop quantum gravity.

General relativity (GR) in higher dimensional ($D > 4$) spacetime has been studied for almost a century. The motivations for the study include Kaluza-Klein theory [10, 11], supergravity theory [12], string/M theory [13–15], brane-world scenarios [16, 17] and so on. In higher dimensional gravitational theories, there exist many black hole solutions [18, 19]. Like their 4-dimension partners, black holes in higher dimensional spacetimes also have

temperature and entropy. Similar to GR, isolated horizons can be introduced in higher dimensional gravitational theories and the laws of black hole mechanics and thermodynamics can be generalized, as expected, to those of isolated horizons [20–22]. How to explain the entropy of the isolated horizons in higher dimension in the framework of loop quantum gravity [23, 24] is on the table. An immediate approach might be to invoke the Chern-Simons theory again. However, the Chern-Simons theory can only be defined on odd dimensional spacetimes, which limit its application in higher dimension.

In Ref. [25], we showed that in a 4-dimensional spacetime, the boundary degrees of freedom on nonrotating isolated horizons can also be described effectively by another topological field theory—BF theory. A BF theory can be defined on a spacetime with any dimension, which in this aspect is the advantage over the Chern-Simons theory. In the present paper, we extend the results in Ref. [25] to higher dimensional nonrotating isolated horizons.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, similar to 4 dimensional case, we derive the symplectic structure for nonrotating isolated horizons. It can be seen that the boundary degrees of freedom can be described by a BF theory. In section 3, we quantize the punctured BF theory and give the corresponding Hilbert space. In section 4, we set up the boundary condition to relate boundary fields to the bulk fields and calculate the entropy of the nonrotating isolated horizons. The Bekenstein-Hawking law of the nonrotating isolated horizons is obtained. Our results are concluded in section 5. In appendix, we give the detail calculation of the solder field and connection. Throughout the paper, we use the units of $\hbar = c = 1$.

2 The higher dimensional nonrotating isolated horizons

The Einstein-Hilbert action can be generalized to D dimensional spacetime $\mathcal{M}$ [19]:

$$I[g_{\mu\nu}] = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^D x\sqrt{-g}R\,. \tag{2.1}$$

It can be written in the first-order form [22]:

$$I[e, A] = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{\mathcal{M}} F^{IJ}\wedge\Sigma_{IJ}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\kappa = 8\pi G$, e^I are covielbein (1-form) fields,

$$\Sigma_{IJ} = \frac{1}{(D-2)!}\varepsilon_{IJK\cdots N}e^K\wedge\cdots\wedge e^N \tag{2.3}$$

is a $(D-2)$-form, A^{IJ} the $SO(D-1,1)$ connection 1-form, F_{IJ} the curvature 2-form of A^{IJ}, and I, J indices of the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{so}(D-1,1)$. The spacetime region $\mathcal{M}$ is supposed to be bounded by the initial and final spacelike hypersurfaces M_1 and M_2, an isolated horizon Δ from the inner, and extended to spatial infinity i^0. All fields are assumed to be smooth and satisfy the standard asymptotic boundary condition at spatial infinity, i^0.

From the first variation of the action (2.2) and variational principle, we get the vacuum field equations of gravitation:

$$\varepsilon_{IJ\cdots LMN}e^J\wedge\cdots\wedge e^L\wedge F(A)^{MN}=0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$d_A\Sigma_{IJ}:=d\Sigma_{IJ}-A_I{}^K\wedge\Sigma_{KJ}-A_J{}^K\wedge\Sigma_{IK}=0, \tag{2.5}$$

and the symplectic potential density,

$$\theta(\delta)=\frac{1}{2\kappa}\Sigma_{IJ}\wedge\delta A^{IJ}. \tag{2.6}$$

The second-order exterior variation will give the symplectic current,

$$J(\delta_1,\delta_2)=\frac{1}{\kappa}\delta_{[2}\Sigma_{IJ}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{IJ}. \tag{2.7}$$

The nilpotent of exterior variation, $\boldsymbol{\delta}^2=0$, implies $dJ=0$. Applying Stokes' theorem to the integration $\int_{\mathcal{M}}dJ=0$, we can get the following equation:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}\left(\int_{M_2}\delta_{[2}\Sigma_{IJ}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{IJ}-\int_{M_1}\delta_{[2}\Sigma_{IJ}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{IJ}-\int_{\Delta}\delta_{[2}\Sigma_{IJ}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{IJ}\right)=0. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that the boundary integral at spatial infinity i^0 vanishes by suitable fall-off conditions [26]. We shall see that the last term in Eq.(2.8) is a pure boundary contribution, i.e, the symplectic flux across the isolated horizon Δ can be expressed as an algebraic sum of two terms corresponding to the $D-2$ dimensional compact manifold $K_1=\Delta\cap M_1$ and $K_2=\Delta\cap M_2$.

Now let's consider the geometry near the isolated horizon. We adopt the Bondi-like coordinates $x^\mu=(u,r,\zeta^i)$ with coordinate indices $i,j=2,\cdots,D-1$ near the isolated horizon in Ref. [27]. The isolated horizon Δ is characterized by $r=0$. With the Bondi-like coordinates, the Bondi-like vielbein vector fields can be expressed as [27, 28]

$$\begin{cases} n^a=\partial_r \\ l^a=\partial_u+U\partial_r+X^i\partial_i \\ e^a_{\mathtt{A}}=\omega_{\mathtt{A}}\partial_r+\xi^i_{\mathtt{A}}\partial_i, & \text{with vielbein indices } \mathtt{A},\mathtt{B}=2,\cdots,D-1, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where $(U,X^i,\omega_{\mathtt{A}},\xi^i_{\mathtt{A}})$ are functions of (u,r,ζ^i) and satisfy $U\triangleq X^i\triangleq\omega_{\mathtt{A}}\triangleq 0$. The symbol $\triangleq$ means that the equality holds on the isolated horizon Δ. In the following, we also use $f^{(0)}$ to denote the value of the function f on the isolated horizon. The covielbein 1-form $n_a,l_a,e^{\mathtt{A}}_a$ are

$$\begin{cases} n_a=-du, \\ l_a=Udu-dr-\xi^{\mathtt{A}}_i\omega_{\mathtt{A}}(X^idu-d\zeta^i) & \text{with } \xi^{\mathtt{A}}_i \text{ being the inverse of } \xi^i_{\mathtt{A}}, \\ e^{\mathtt{A}}_a=-\xi^{\mathtt{A}}_i(X^idu-d\zeta^i), \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

which satisfy the condition: $n^al_a=l^an_a=-1, e^{\mathtt{A}}_ae^a_{\mathtt{B}}=\delta^{\mathtt{A}}_{\mathtt{B}}$, and others vanish. The inverse metric reads

$$g^{ab}=-l^a\otimes n^b-n^a\otimes l^b+\delta^{\mathtt{AB}}e^a_{\mathtt{A}}\otimes e^b_{\mathtt{B}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Define

$$\pi_{\mathtt{A}} := e^a_{\mathtt{A}} l^b \nabla_b n_a, \tag{2.12}$$

which is related to the angular momentum of the isolated horizon [21]. For the nonrotating isolated horizons, $\pi_{\mathtt{A}} \triangleq 0$. The unknown functions near the nonrotating isolated horizon may be expanded as [28]

$$\begin{cases} U = \kappa_l r + \dfrac{1}{2} R^{(0)}_{nlnl} r^2 + O(r^3), \\ \omega_{\mathtt{A}} = \dfrac{1}{2} R^{(0)}_{n\mathtt{A}nl} r^2 + O(r^3), \\ X^i = \dfrac{1}{2} R^{(0)}_{n\mathtt{A}nl} \xi^{i(0)}_A r^2 + O(r^3), \\ \xi^i_{\mathtt{A}} = \xi^{i(0)}_{\mathtt{A}} - \theta^{(0)}_{\mathtt{AB}} \xi^{i(0)}_{\mathtt{B}} r + O(r^2), \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

where κ_l is the surface gravity of the isolated horizon, which is dependent on the choice of l, and $\theta_{\mathtt{AB}} := e^a_{\mathtt{A}} e^b_{\mathtt{B}} \nabla_b n_a$. Due to the zero law of isolated horizon, κ_l is a constant on the horizon. The asymptotic expansion of the inverse metric near the nonrotating isolated horizon is then

$$\begin{aligned} & g^{ur} = 1, \quad g^{ui} = 0, \\ & g^{rr} = 2U + \delta^{\mathtt{AB}} \omega_{\mathtt{A}} \omega_{\mathtt{B}} = 2\kappa_l r + R^{(0)}_{nlnl} r^2 + O(r^3), \\ & g^{ri} = X^i + \delta^{\mathtt{AB}} \omega_{\mathtt{A}} \xi^i_{\mathtt{B}} = \delta^{\mathtt{AB}} R^{(0)}_{n\mathtt{A}nl} \xi^{i(0)}_{\mathtt{B}} r^2 + O(r^3), \\ & g^{ij} = \delta^{\mathtt{AB}} \xi^i_{\mathtt{A}} \xi^j_{\mathtt{B}} + O(r). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Following the idea of Ref. [25] we choose a set of orthogonal vielbein fields which are compatible with the metric (2.11) :

$$e^a_0 = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha' n^a + \frac{1}{\alpha'} l^a), \quad e^a_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha' n^a - \frac{1}{\alpha'} l^a), \quad e^a_{\mathtt{A}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Here $\alpha'(x)$ is an arbitrary function of the coordinates. (e_0, e_1) with different choices $\alpha(x)$ are related by a Lorentz transformation. The covielbein fields are given by

$$e^0_a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha' n_a + \frac{1}{\alpha'} l_a), \quad e^1_a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(\alpha' n_a - \frac{1}{\alpha'} l_a), \quad e^{\mathtt{A}}_a = e^{\mathtt{A}}_\mu dx^\mu. \tag{2.16}$$

Restricted on the isolated horizon Δ, the 1-form l vanishes, so we have $e^0 \triangleq e^1$ (Hereafter, we omit the abstract subscript a for 1-form). Then the non-zero solder fields on the horizon Δ satisfy

$$\Sigma_{01} = e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{D-1}, \quad \Sigma_{0\mathtt{A}} \triangleq -\Sigma_{1\mathtt{A}}. \tag{2.17}$$

After some straightforward calculation (see Appendix), we can get the following properties for the $SO(D-1,1)$ connections:

$$A^{01} \triangleq \kappa_l du + d(\ln \alpha'), \quad A^{0\mathtt{A}} \triangleq A^{1\mathtt{A}}. \tag{2.18}$$

By Eqs.(2.17) and (2.18) the integral on the horizon can be reduced to

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{\Delta}\delta_{[2}\Sigma_{KL}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{KL}=\frac{1}{\kappa}\int_{\Delta}\delta_{[2}\Sigma_{01}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{01}, \tag{2.19}$$

since other terms either vanish or cancel with each other.

On the isolated horizon Δ, Σ_{01} is just the volume form of its spatial section. From the field equation (2.5) and the properties (2.17) and (2.18), it is easy to show that $d\Sigma_{01}\triangleq 0$, so it is a closed $(D-2)$-form on the horizon Δ. Locally we can define a $(D-3)$-form $\tilde{B}$ which satisfies

$$d\tilde{B}=\Sigma_{01}. \tag{2.20}$$

By definition [27], the isolated horizons has the topology of $\mathbb{R}\times K$, where K is a $(D-2)$-dimensional compact, connected, orientable Riemannian manifold. Since the topology of the horizon is non-trivial, i.e, the $(D-2)$-th cohomology group

$$H^{D-2}(\mathbb{R}\times K)\cong\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.21}$$

the $\tilde{B}$ field must satisfy the following condition

$$\oint_{K}|d\tilde{B}|=\oint_{K}|\Sigma_{01}|=a_{K}, \tag{2.22}$$

where a_K is the 'area' of the horizon, and the second equality comes from the flux-area relation [29].

From the Eq.(2.18) we have

$$dA^{01}\triangleq 0. \tag{2.23}$$

Thus, the integral in Eq.(2.19) can be written as

$$\int_{\Delta}\delta_{[2}\Sigma_{01}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{01}=\int_{K_2}\delta_{[2}\tilde{B}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{01}-\int_{K_1}\delta_{[2}\tilde{B}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{01}. \tag{2.24}$$

Then, Eq.(2.8) implies

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}\left(\int_{M}\delta_{[2}\Sigma_{IJ}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{IJ}-\int_{K}\delta_{[2}\tilde{B}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{01}\right)\text{ is independent of }u,$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}\int_{M\text{ outside }K}\delta_{[2}\Sigma_{IJ}\wedge\delta_{1]}A^{IJ}\text{ is independent of }u,$$

which is determined by the bulk only.

Consider an $SO(1,1)$ boost for (e_0,e_1) with $g=\exp(\varsigma)$. Under the transformation, $A'^{01}=A^{01}-d\varsigma$, and Σ_{01} leaves unchanged. So A^{01} is an $SO(1,1)$ connection, and Σ_{01} is in its adjoint representation. Eq.(2.24) is the symplectic flux of an $SO(1,1)$ BF theory across the sections of the isolated horizon. Such an $SO(1,1)$ BF theory is what we need to supplement GR to explain the statistical origin of the area entropy of a horizon, if the following replacements are made,

$$B\leftrightarrow\frac{\tilde{B}}{\kappa},\ A\leftrightarrow A^{01}, \tag{2.25}$$

as in Ref. [25].

3 $(D-1)$-dimensional $SO(1,1)$ punctured BF theory

In $(D-1)$-dimensional spacetime Δ, the action of an ordinary $SO(1,1)$ BF theory can be written as [30]

$$S[B,A]=\int_{\Delta}\mathrm{Tr}(B\wedge F(A))=\int_{\Delta}B\wedge dA. \tag{3.1}$$

where A is an $SO(1,1)$ connection field, F its field strength 2-form, and B a $(D-3)$-form field in the adjoint representation of $SO(1,1)$. From the action (3.1), we can easily obtain the field equations as

$$F:=dA=0, \qquad \tilde{F}:=dB=0. \tag{3.2}$$

In the vacuum BF theory, A is a flat connection and B-field has a trivial topology.

On the other hand, the field equations for the BF theory we need on the isolated horizon are

$$F=dA=0, \qquad \tilde{F}=dB=\frac{\Sigma_{01}}{\kappa}. \tag{3.3}$$

Compared with Eq.(3.2), Eq.(3.3) shows that B-field has nontrivial topology and that the bulk field Σ_{01} serves as the source of the B field, locally. But, A remains a flat connection.

The quantization of the punctured BF theory in $(D-1)$ dimension is similar as in 3 dimension, and we will just summarize the results in [25]. Let us assume that on the spatial slice K there are n punctures denoted by $\mathcal{P}=\{p_\alpha|\alpha=1,\cdots,n\}$. For every puncture p_α we associate a $(D-2)$-dimensional bounded neighborhood s_α which contains it and does not intersect any other. Denote the boundary of s_α by η_α. Define the gauge-invariant functions of the B field

$$f_\alpha=\int_{s_\alpha}dB=\oint_{\eta_\alpha}B. \tag{3.4}$$

The common eigenstates of the corresponding quantum operators $\hat{f}_\alpha$ are the Dirac distributions $(\{a_p\},\mathcal{P}|\equiv(a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_n|$ characterized by n real numbers $\{a_\alpha,\alpha=1,\cdots,n\}$. As unbounded self-adjoint operators, the collection $\{\hat{f}_\alpha|\alpha=1,\cdots,n\}$ comprises a complete set of observables in $\mathcal{H}_K^{\mathcal{P}}\equiv L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There is a spectral decomposition of $\mathcal{H}_K^{\mathcal{P}}$ with respect to each $\hat{f}_\alpha$, i.e,

$$(\{a_p\},\mathcal{P}|\hat{f}_\alpha=(\{a_p\},\mathcal{P}|a_\alpha. \tag{3.5}$$

4 The entropy of isolated horizon

In order to calculate the entropy of an isolated horizon, we consider the system described by the action (2.2) for the bulk $\mathcal{M}$ plus an $SO(1,1)$ BF theory for the isolated horizon as the internal boundary of $\mathcal{M}$, whose B-field has nontrivial topology. In loop quantum gravity approach, only the horizon degrees of freedom contribute to the black hole entropy. Hence, the bulk degrees of freedom need to be traced out. We can construct a density matrix ρ_{BH} for such a system and assume the system is in a maximally mixed state. The entropy can be given by the von Neumann formula

$$S_{BH}=-\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{BH}\ln\rho_{BH}), \tag{4.1}$$

or equivalently,

$$S_{BH} = \ln \mathcal{N}_{BH}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{BH}$ is the total number of the states in the horizon Hilbert space that satisfy some constraints. The goal of this section is to compute this number to give the entropy.

Following the paper [25], the classical boundary condition relating the boundary and bulk fields are chosen as

$$\tilde{F}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \Sigma_{01}(x)/\kappa, \tag{4.3}$$

or its integral form:

$$\oint_K \tilde{F}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \frac{1}{\kappa} \oint_K \Sigma_{01}(x), \tag{4.4}$$

where $\tilde{F}$ is the exterior differential of the B-field on the boundary, Σ_{01} is the canonical momentum density conjugate to A^{01} in the bulk, and $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ means that the equality is valid when the limit of Σ_{01} at the spatial slice K of the isolated horizon is taken. The right hand side of the equality is proportional to the flux of Σ_{01} across K, $Fl_{01}(K) := \oint_K \Sigma_{01}(x)$, which is well defined in loop quantum gravity [31]. The left hand side is the volume integral of $\tilde{F}$ over K, which is just the sum of the observables (3.4) in the loop-quantized version of the BF theory.

The Hilbert space for the bulk theory in higher dimension can be constructed in the approach proposed by Bodendorfer and his collaborators [31–34]. The key point is that one can proceed in the phase space for the Euclideanization of the D-dimensional spacetime, which consist of an $SO(D)$ connection A_a^{IJ} and its conjugate momentum π^a_{IJ}. Besides the usual Gauss constraint, the spatial diffeomorphism constraint and Hamiltonian constraint, there is a simplicity constraint. In the quantum theory, the simplicity constraint can be implemented on the links of a spin-network by restricting the representations of the $SO(D)$ to be of class 1, so that their highest weight vector $\vec{\lambda}$ is determined by a single non-negative integer λ as $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda, 0, \cdots, 0)$ [35]. Under the representation, the 'area' $Ar[S]$ for a $(D-2)-$hypersurface S can be constructed as $\sqrt{\text{'flux squared'}}$, i.e. [31],

$$Ar[S] := \sum_U \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} Fl_{IJ}(S_U) Fl^{IJ}(S_U)}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $S = \bigcup S_U$ is a partition of the hypersurface S by a set of closed sets $\{S_U\}$ with each S_U containing, at most, one puncture, and $Fl_{IJ}(S_U)$ is the flux through S_U which can be quantized properly.

Such a construction has been used in the study of the isolated horizons in higher dimensional spacetime [23]. For $2n$-dimensional spacetime, the degrees of freedom on the $(2n-1)$-dimensional isolated horizon Δ can be described by an $SO(2n)$ Chern-Simons theory. Unfortunately, the non-Abelian Chern-Simons theory with $n > 1$ has local degrees of freedom, which would result in the divergence of the entropy. In order to avoid the problem, a stronger boundary condition is proposed. The stronger boundary condition relates the Chern-Simons connection on the boundary to a hybrid connection on the bulk. In contrast, there is no such a problem with BF theory, since a BF theory has no local degree of freedom even in higher dimension [30].

The eigenvalues of the flux operator of the canonical momentum conjugate to A^{01} across an arbitrary $(D-2)$-dimensional closed, connect, oriented, spacelike hypersurface S in M has the form

$$\oint_S \hat{\Sigma}_{01}(x)|m_\alpha, \cdots >= 8\pi G\beta \sum_\alpha m_\alpha |m_\alpha, \cdots >, \tag{4.6}$$

where α indicates the α-th puncture of a spin-network eigenstate on S, which coincides with punctures on the boundary BF theory, $m_\alpha \in \{-\lambda_\alpha, -\lambda_\alpha + 1, \cdots, \lambda_\alpha\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is the quantum number associated with the flux operator, $|m_\alpha, \cdots >$ represent an $SO(D)$ spin network state in the bulk, and $\cdots$ represents other quantum numbers that character the state, such as the quantum number associated with the intertwinner operator.

The quantum version of the boundary condition (4.4) reads

$$(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \oint_{s_\alpha} \hat{\tilde{F}} - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \oint_{s_\alpha} \hat{\Sigma}_{01} \otimes \mathrm{Id})(\Psi_v \otimes \Psi_b) = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

where Id means the identity operator, Ψ_v and Ψ_b bulk and boundary states, respectively, s_α the $(D-2)$-dimensional bounded neighborhood associated to the puncture p_α as before.

From Eq. (4.7), we can get the relation between the eigenvalues of $\hat{\tilde{F}}$ and $\hat{\Sigma}_{01}$:

$$a_\alpha = \beta m_\alpha, \quad m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.8}$$

In other words, a_α is no longer any real number, but takes discrete values.

The eigenvalues of the flux-area operator which appears in the quantum version of Eq. (2.22) has the form

$$\oint_S \parallel \hat{\Sigma}_{01}(x) \parallel |m_\alpha, \cdots >= 8\pi G\beta \sum_\alpha |m_\alpha||m_\alpha, \cdots > . \tag{4.9}$$

Then, a global constraint appears from the equation (2.22) and (2.25):

$$\sum_\alpha |a_\alpha| = a_K/(8\pi G). \tag{4.10}$$

Similar to the 'flux-area' constraint in Ref.[29], (4.10) is called flux constraint. With the Eq.(4.8), the constraint can be reformulated as

$$\sum_\alpha |m_\alpha| = a_K/(8\pi\beta G), \quad m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.11}$$

So the number of compatible states is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{BH} = \sum_{n=1}^{n=a} C_{a-1}^{n-1} 2^n = 2 \times 3^{a-1} \tag{4.12}$$

where $a = a_K/(8\pi\beta G) \in \mathbb{N}$. The entropy is given by

$$S_{BH} = \ln \mathcal{N}_{BH} = a \ln 3 + \ln \frac{2}{3} = \frac{\ln 3}{2\pi\beta} \frac{a_K}{4G} + \ln \frac{2}{3}. \tag{4.13}$$

Finally we get the area law. Besides, if we set $\beta = \ln 3/(2\pi)$, we also get the famous coefficient $1/4$. Note that this value is dimension-independent. Compared with the case in 4 dimension [25], this parameter has an additional factor 2. This is due to the fact that in higher dimension we use the group $SO(D)$ which only has integer representation, and in 4 dimension we use $SU(2)$ which can have half-integer representation. If the present procedure is applied to $D = 4$ case, in which $SO(4)$ group instead of $SU(2)$ group is used, the β parameter will be different, but the spectrum of the area operator remains the same. Whether there exist any physical process which can distinguish the two approaches is an interesting problem.

As the same as in 4 dimensional spacetime, the entropy we get has a constant correction term besides the leading area term. The 'zero-point entropy' first appears as the quantum correction for the area law of entropy in Ref. [36, 37].

5 Discussion

In this paper, we calculate the entropy of nonrotating isolated horizon in higher dimensional spacetime following the standard procedure in loop quantum gravity [38]. From the first-order action (2.2), the presymplectic current is obtained. The current through the isolated horizon Δ can be reformulated as the difference across its final and initial spatial sections. Then the symplectic form on a $(D-2)$-dimensional hypersurface is acquired. The degrees of freedom on the cross section of the isolated horizon can be described by a punctured $SO(1,1)$ BF theory with the symplectic form. The result is the same as in 4 dimensional spacetime.

Notice that in the present calculation of the entropy of isolated horizon, the area constraint is not used, which plays an important role in the Chern-Simons theory approach. Instead, the flux constraint (4.10) is used. Classically, the area of the horizon equals its flux-area, which can be seen from the equation (2.22). But at quantum level, they correspond to two different operators: (4.5) and (4.9), respectively. The eigenvalues of the 'area' operator are given by [31]

$$8\pi G\beta \sum_{\alpha} \sqrt{\lambda_\alpha(\lambda_\alpha + D - 2)}, \quad \lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{N},$$

where β is a parameter analogous to the Barbero-Immirzi parameter in 4 dimension. Those eigenvalues are obviously different from that of the flux-area operator (4.9). We use the flux constraint because in a loop quantization of a generalized gravity the flux operator turns out to measure the Wald entropy [39].

The starting point of our approach is the first-order action (2.2) which has $SO(D-1,1)$ as the gauge group. On the isolated horizon, we make a gauge fixing into its subgroup $SO(1,1)$. Taking this fact into account, a punctured BF with gauge group $SO(1,1)$ is chosen to describe the boundary degrees of freedom. But the quantum states in the bulk are well presented only from the Hamiltonian framework with gauge group $SO(D)$ [32, 33], since the non-compact $SO(D-1,1)$ group is not suitable for loop quantized. The mismatch of gauge group $SO(D-1,1)$ and $SO(D)$ in the bulk, in particular, near the isolated horizon maybe cause some confusion. In fact, in the calculation of the entropy, the bulk degrees

of freedom are traced out, and what we count is just the number of states compatible to the boundary states. The bulk theory enter into the calculation through the form of the eiganvalues for flux operator (4.6). Therefore, the calculation is still reasonable.

In Ref. [23], due to the difficulty of the non-Abelian Chern-Simons theory in higher dimension, it is suggested to use $n^{[I}\tilde{s}^{J]}$ as horizon degrees of freedom for all higher dimensions. It is worth to compare the entropy of a horizon obtained in the two different approaches.

A Solder field and connection on the isolated horizon

The connections $A_I{}^J$ which are adapted with the vielbein e_I are given by

$$(A_I{}^J)_a = (e_I)^c[\partial_a(e^J)_c - \Gamma^b_{ac}(e^J)_b]. \tag{A.1}$$

Since what we concern is the connection restricted on the isolated horizon with $r = 0$, which has no dr component, we only need to calculate it in the infinitesimal neighborhood of the horizon, so that the e^I and the Christoffol symbol Γ^a_{bc} are kept to the zero order of r and metric to the first order of r.

First we choose the parameter $\alpha'(x) = 1$, and other cases can change into this case through a Lorentz transformation. Thus, from Eqs. (2.15), (2.9) and (2.10), one has

$$e_0 = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_u + \partial_r) + O(r), \quad e_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(-\partial_u + \partial_r) + O(r), \quad e_{\mathtt{A}} = \xi^i_{\mathtt{A}}\partial_i + O(r), \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$e^0 = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(du + dr) + O(r), \quad e^1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(-du + dr) + O(r), \quad e^{\mathtt{A}} = \xi^{\mathtt{A}}_i dx^i + O(r). \tag{A.3}$$

On the horizon $r = 0$,

$$e^0 \triangleq e^1 \triangleq -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}du \tag{A.4}$$

and

$$\Sigma_{01} = e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^{D-1}, \quad \Sigma_{0\mathtt{A}} \triangleq -\Sigma_{1\mathtt{A}}. \tag{A.5}$$

Next we calculate the connection $(A_0{}^1)_a = (e_0)^c[\partial_a(e^1)_c - \Gamma^b_{ac}(e^1)_b]$ [or $(A_0{}^1)_\mu dx^\mu = e^\sigma_0(\partial_\mu e^1_\sigma - \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\sigma}e^1_\nu)dx^\mu$] restricted on the horizon $r = 0$. From the expression (A.2) and (A.3) we can see that ν, σ can only take values corresponding to u, r. For $\mu = u$, the nonzero Christoffol symbols are $\Gamma^u_{uu} \triangleq \Gamma^r_{ur} \triangleq \kappa_l$, so we can get $(A_0{}^1)_u \triangleq -\kappa_l$. For $\mu = i$, with same method, it can be shown that $(A_0{}^1)_i \triangleq 0$. So in this case, we can get

$$A^{01} \triangleq \kappa_l du. \tag{A.6}$$

For $\alpha'(x) \neq 1$, which relate to our case with a Lorentz transformation, the connection transforms into $A^{01} \triangleq \kappa_l du + d(\ln \alpha')$, which is the first result of (2.18).

Finally, the second result of (2.18) is equivalent to $(A_0{}^{\mathtt{A}})_a \triangleq -(A_1{}^{\mathtt{A}})_a$, or

$$[(A_0{}^{\mathtt{A}})_\mu + (A_1{}^{\mathtt{A}})_\mu]dx^\mu = (e^\sigma_0 + e^\sigma_1)(\partial_\mu e^{\mathtt{A}}_\sigma - \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\sigma}e^{\mathtt{A}}_\nu)dx^\mu \triangleq 0. \tag{A.7}$$

Again, σ can only take values corresponding to u, r. For $\sigma = r$, we already have $e_0^r + e_1^r \triangleq 0$. For $\sigma = u$, we have

$$(e_0^u + e_1^u)(\partial_\mu e_u^{\mathtt{A}} - \Gamma_{\mu u}^\nu e_\nu^{\mathtt{A}}) \triangleq \sqrt{2}\Gamma_{\mu u}^i e_i^{\mathtt{A}} \triangleq 0, \tag{A.8}$$

since

$$\Gamma_{\mu u}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{i\nu}(g_{\mu\nu,u} + g_{u\nu,\mu} - g_{\mu u,\nu}) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g_{kj,u} \delta_\mu^k \triangleq 0. \tag{A.9}$$

In the last step, $d\Sigma_{01} \triangleq 0$ has been used. So, we complete the proof of the results (2.18).

Acknowledgments

One of the authors (Wang) would like to thank Prof. Yongge Ma for helpful discussion. This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under the grant 11275207.

References

[1] Bekenstein.Jd, *Black Holes and Entropy*, *Phys.Rev.* **D7** (1973), no. 8 2333–2346.

[2] Hawking, S. W., *Black-Hole Explosions*, *Nature* **248** (1974), no. 5443 30–31.

[3] Bardeen, J. M. and Carter, B. and Hawking, S. W., *4 Laws of Black Hole Mechanics*, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **31** (1973), no. 2 161–170.

[4] Strominger, Andrew and Vafa, Cumrun, *Microscopic Origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy*, *Phys.Lett.* **B379** (1996) 99–104, [hep-th/9601029].

[5] Ashtekar, A. and Baez, J. and Corichi, A. and Krasnov, Kirill, *Quantum Geometry and Black Hole Entropy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 904–907, [gr-qc/9710007].

[6] Carlip, Steven, *Black Hole Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics*, *Lect.Notes Phys.* **769** (2009) 89–123, [arXiv:0807.4520].

[7] Hawking, S.W. and Ellis, G.F.R., *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 1973.

[8] Ashtekar, A. and Beetle, C. and Fairhurst, S., *Isolated Horizons: A Generalization of Black Hole Mechanics*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **16** (1999) L1–L7.

[9] Ashtekar, Abhay and Fairhurst, Stephen and Krishnan, Badri, *Isolated Horizons: Hamiltonian Evolution and the First Law*, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 104025, [gr-qc/0005083].

[10] Kaluza, T., *Zum Unitätsproblem in der Physik*, *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin. (Math. Phys.)* (1921) 966–972.

[11] Klein, O., *Quantentheorie und Fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie*, *Zeitschrift für Physik A* **37** (1926) 895–906.

[12] Nath, P. and Arnowitt, R. , *Generalized Super-Gauge Symmetry as a New Framework for Unified Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Lett.* **B56** (1975) 177–906.

[13] Polchinski, J.G., *String Theory*. Cambridge monographs on mathematical physics. Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[14] Zwiebach, B., *A First Course in String Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[15] Becker, K. and Becker, M. and Schwarz, J.H., *String Theory and M-Theory: A Modern Introduction*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[16] Antoniadis, Ignatios and Arkani-Hamed, Nima and Dimopoulos, Savas and Dvali, G.R., *New Dimensions at A Millimeter to A Fermi and Superstrings at A TeV*, *Phys.Lett.* **B436** (1998) 257–263, [hep-ph/9804398].

[17] Randall, Lisa and Sundrum, Raman, *An Alternative to Compactification*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **83** (1999) 4690–4693, [hep-th/9906064].

[18] Horowitz, G.T., *Black Holes in Higher Dimensions*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[19] Emparan, Roberto and Reall, Harvey S., *Black Holes in Higher Dimensions*, *Living Rev.Rel.* **11** (2008) 6, [arXiv:0801.3471].

[20] Lewandowski, Jerzy and Pawlowski, Tomasz, *Quasi-local Rotating Black Holes in Higher Dimension: Geometry*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **22** (2005) 1573–1598, [gr-qc/0410146].

[21] M.Korzynski and Lewandowski, Jerzy and Pawlowski, Tomasz, *Mechanics of Miltidimensional Isolated Horizons*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **22** (2005) 2001–2016, [gr-qc/0412108].

[22] Ashtekar, Abhay and Pawlowski, Tomasz and Van Den Broeck, Chris, *Mechanics of Higher-dimensional Black Holes in Asymptotically Anti-de Sitter Space-times*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **24** (2007) 625–644, [gr-qc/0611049].

[23] Bodendorfer, Norbert and Thiemann, Thomas and Thurn, Andreas, *New Variables for Classical and Quantum Gravity in all Dimensions V. Isolated Horizon Boundary Degrees of Freedom*, arXiv:1304.2679.

[24] Bodendorfer, N., *Black Hole Entropy from Loop Quantum Gravity in Higher Dimensions*, *Phys.Lett.* **B726** (2013) 887–891, [arXiv:1307.5029].

[25] Wang, Jingbo and Ma, Yongge and Zhao, Xu-An, *BF Theory Explanation of the Entropy for Non-rotating Isolated Horizons*, *Phys.Rev.* **D89** (2014) 084065, [arXiv:1401.2967].

[26] Engle, Jonathan and Liko, Tomas, *Isolated Horizons in Classical and Quantum Gravity*, arXiv:1112.4412.

[27] Wu, Xiao-ning and Huang, Chao-Guang and Sun, Jia-Rui, *On Gravitational Anomaly and Hawking Radiation near Weakly Isolated Horizon*, *Phys.Rev.* **D77** (2008) 124023, [arXiv:0801.1347].

[28] Wu, Xiaoning and Ling, Yi and Tian, Yu and Zhang, Chengyong, *Fluid/Gravity Correspondence for General Non-rotating Black Holes*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 145012, [arXiv:1303.3736].

[29] Fernando Barbero, G.J. and Lewandowski, Jerzy and Villasenor, Eduardo J.S., *Flux-area Operator and Black Hole Entropy*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 044016, [arXiv:0905.3465].

[30] Baez, J. C., *An Introduction to Spin Foam Models of Quantum Gravity and BF Theory*, *Lect.Notes Phys.* **543** (2000) 25–94, [gr-qc/9905087].

[31] Bodendorfer, Norbert and Thiemann, Thomas and Thurn, Andreas, *New Variables for Classical and Quantum Gravity in all Dimensions III. Quantum Theory*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 045003, [arXiv:1105.3705].

[32] Bodendorfer, Norbert and Thiemann, Thomas and Thurn, Andreas, *New Variables for Classical and Quantum Gravity in all Dimensions I. Hamiltonian Analysis*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 045001, [arXiv:1105.3703].

[33] Bodendorfer, Norbert and Thiemann, Thomas and Thurn, Andreas, *New Variables for Classical and Quantum Gravity in all Dimensions II. Lagrangian Analysis*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 045002, [arXiv:1105.3704].

[34] Bodendorfer, Norbert and Thiemann, Thomas and Thurn, Andreas, *New Variables for Classical and Quantum Gravity in all Dimensions IV. Matter Coupling*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 045004, [arXiv:1105.3706].

[35] Freidel, L. and Krasnov, Kirill and Puzio, R., *BF Description of Higher Dimensional Gravity Theories*, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **3** (1999) 1289–1324, [hep-th/9901069].

[36] Huang, C. G. and Liu, L. and Xu, F., *On Black-Hole Thermodynamics and Back-Reaction*, *Chin.Phys.Lett.* **8** (1991), no. 3 118–121.

[37] Huang, C. G. and Liu, L. and Zhao, Z., *The Thermodynamical Approach to the Back-Reaction Problem*, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **25** (1993), no. 12 1267–1275.

[38] Ashtekar, A. and Baez, J. C. and Krasnov, K., *Quantum Geometry of Isolated Horizons and Black Hole Entropy*, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **4** (2000), no. 1.

[39] Bodendorfer, Norbert and Neiman, Yasha, *The Wald Entropy Formula and Loop Quantum Gravity*, arXiv:1304.3025.